

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)		
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112533 DATE: <u>5/28/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>2:30PM</u> DEPART: <u>3:30PM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: NASCA BODY SHOP		
ACILITY LOCATION: 4515 NORTH DIXIE HIGHWAY		
FORT LAUDERDALE 33334-3922		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOSEPH NASCA PHONE: (954)493-7468		
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/21/2006 / 5/21/2011 (effective date) (end date)		
ART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
ART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)		
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ No Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to 		

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	E REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., vd) implementing inventory control practices to preve) implementing management practices to reduce Vd. spraying light colored coatings before dark cycles?	of reducing VOC emissions by: effective application with a minimum of overspray? sive coating?
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	
Elizabeth F.Susky	05/28/2009
Elizabeth F.Susky Inspector's Name (Please Print)	05/28/2009 Date of Inspection
<u></u>	

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 5/28/2009, AQD staff observed operations at Nasca Body Shop. The facility has two booths, but only utilizes one. Mr. Nasca accompanied AQD staff on the inspection. The facility also has a haz-mat storage area. Housekeeping was o.k. The paint supplier sent the department VOC records and the facility is under its permit threshold.